

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Wh

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,225	11/25/2003	James Stewart McCormick	ALC 3099	4351
7590 04/17/2007 KRAMER & AMADO, P.C. Suite 240			EXAMINER NGUYEN, QUYNH H	
,			2614	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/720,225	MCCORMICK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quynh H. Nguyen	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25 November 2003.					
,	This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,— , , , — , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 15-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are objected to.	· · · —— - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f)				
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		enlication No				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International B						
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
C. Detact and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/25/03 was received. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Boehmke et al. (U.S. Patent 6,788,933) in view of Liu et al. (US Patent 6,170,067).

As to claim 1, Boehmke et al. teaches a method for recording call failure information in a data transmission system (abstract; col. 3, lines 37-39) comprising:

generating a failure log in response to a failure event (col. 18, lines 26-32), and placing call records in a queue in the order in which it was time stamped (col. 31, lines 26-32);

formulating an identifier for the first failure log based on said failure type (col. 17, lines 12-26 - where Boehmke discussed call failures are sorted based on directory

Application/Control Number: 10/720,225

Art Unit: 2614

number, cellular telephone number, hence an identifier / telephone number for the call failure was formulated); and

creating a log record for said first failure log (col. 18, lines 3-11 - where Boehmke discussed query log record database 22 for call failures, hence log record was created earlier) and storing said log record in a log record storage (col. 3, lines 58-59).

Boehmke et al. does not explicitly teach the failure log including a failure type and a first timestamp.

Liu et al. teaches generating a failure log in response to a failure event and the failure log including a failure type and a first timestamp (col. 5, lines 36-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Liu into the teachings of Boehmke for the purpose of having a more efficient system and keeping track of reports the occurrence of the events, as discussed by Liu (col. 6, lines 3-5).

As to claim 2, Liu et al. teaches a log record comprises a timestamp field for storing the timestamp (col. 5, lines 36-43) and a count field for storing a count indication the number of log records generated by the failure event (col. 2, lines 63-65).

Claim 3 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, Liu et al. teaches updating the log record and the log record storage to document the current timestamp (col. 14, lines 35-39).

As to claims 4 and 10, Liu et al. teaches incrementing the count to indicate the current number of failure logs with the identifier that have updated the log record (col. 5, line 63 through col. 6, line 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/720,225

Art Unit: 2614

As to claim 5, Boehmke et al. teaches formulating an identifier comprises processing selected fields in the failure log (col. 17, lines 12-22).

As to claims 6-9, Boehmke et al. teaches call log table 100 having fields (col. 14, lines 21-40). However, Boehmke et al. does not explicitly teach the selected fields include and failure reason field, a failure point field, a calling party identification field, a called party identification field and a proprietary failure reason field; and applying crc type checksum function over selected fields. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the above mentioned features into the teachings of Boehmke for the purpose of having a more efficient and better system.

Claim 15 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, Liu et al. teaches a log queue (system log 117); a log record storage for storing the log record (col. 5, lines 36-43).

As to claim 16, Liu et al. teaches updating the log record in the log record storage (col. 14, lines 35-39).

Claims 17-18 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 3. However, Boehmke and Liu do not teach a filter is configurable for selecting a number of fields in the failure log. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that a configurable filter in any logs is well known and the advantage of using this filter is also well known. For example, filtering out certain important fields in the log for statistics purposes.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,225 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 19 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 15 and 17.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 11-14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claims 11 and 14, the prior arts of record fail to teach, or render obvious, alone or in combination a method for recording call failure information in a data transmission system comprising the claimed means and their components, relationships, and functionalities as specifically recited in claims 11 and 14 as follow: generating a failure log in response to a failure event, and placing call records in a queue in the order in which it was time stamped; formulating an identifier for the first failure log based on said failure type; and creating a log record for said first failure log and storing said log record in a log record storage, wherein the step of formulating an identifier comprises: selecting n fields in the failure log, according to the failure type; selecting a plurality of functions, a function for each said failure type, each function providing a unique result when applied to a data configuration; and applying to the data comprised in said in fields a function corresponding to the failure type in the failure log.

Claims 12-13 are objected because they depend on objected claim 11.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/720,225

Art Unit: 2614

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Boehmke (US Patent 6,792,269) teaches system, method and

Page 6

apparatus for tracking deployment of cellular telephone network cites.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-

7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to

5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qhn

Quynh H. Nguyen

Ough H. Nyugen

April 16, 2007